

A simple, polynomial-time algorithm for the matrix torsion problem

François Nicolas

September 8, 2009

Abstract

The Matrix Torsion Problem (MTP) is: given a square matrix M with rational entries, decide whether two distinct powers of M are equal. It has been shown by Cassaigne and the author that the MTP reduces to the Matrix Power Problem (MPP) in polynomial time [1]: given two square matrices A and B with rational entries, the MTP is to decide whether B is a power of A . Since the MPP is decidable in polynomial time [3], it is also the case of the MTP. However, the algorithm for MPP is highly non-trivial. The aim of this note is to present a simple, direct, polynomial-time algorithm for the MTP.

1 Introduction

As usual $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ denote the semiring of non-negative integers, the field of rational numbers and the field of complex numbers, respectively.

Definition 1 (Torsion). *Let M be a square matrix over $\mathbb{C}$. We say that M is torsion if it satisfies the following three equivalent assertions.*

- (i). *There exist $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p \neq q$ and $M^p = M^q$.*
- (ii). *The multiplicative semigroup $\{M, M^2, M^3, M^4, \dots\}$ has finite cardinality.*
- (iii). *The sequence $(M, M^2, M^3, M^4, \dots)$ is eventually periodic.*

The aim of this note is to present a polynomial-time algorithm for the following decision problem:

Definition 2. *The Matrix Torsion Problem (MTP) is: given as input a square matrix M over $\mathbb{Q}$, decide whether M is torsion.*

For every square matrix M over $\mathbb{Q}$, the size of M is defined as the order of M plus the sum of the lengths of the binary encodings over all entries of M .

Previous work. The Matrix Power Problem (MPP) is: given two square matrices A and B over $\mathbb{Q}$ decide whether there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A^n = B$. Kannan and Lipton showed that the MPP is decidable in polynomial time [3]. It is rather easy to prove that the MTP is decidable in polynomial time by reduction to the MPP (see Section 4). However, the original algorithm for the MPP is highly non-trivial. Hence, a simple, direct algorithm is still interesting.

2 Generalities

Throughout this paper, z denotes an indeterminate. The next result is well-known and plays a crucial role in the paper.

Proposition 1 (Multiple root elimination). *For every polynomial $\nu(z)$ over $\mathbb{C}$, the polynomial*

$$\pi(z) := \frac{\nu(z)}{\gcd(\nu'(z), \nu(z))}$$

satisfies the following two properties:

- $\nu(z)$ and $\pi(z)$ have the same complex roots, and
- $\pi(z)$ has no multiple roots.

The set of all positive integers is denoted $\mathbb{N}^*$.

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, the n^{th} cyclotomic polynomial is denoted $\gamma_n(z)$: $\gamma_n(z) = \prod (z - u)$ where the product is over all primitive n^{th} roots of unity $u \in \mathbb{C}$. It is well-known that $\gamma_n(z)$ is a monic integer polynomial and that the following three properties hold [4]:

Property 1. *For every $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $z^m - 1 = \prod_{n \in D_m} \gamma_n(z)$, where D_m denotes the set of all positive divisors of m .*

Property 2. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\gamma_n(z)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$.*

Euler's totient function is denoted ϕ : for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\phi(n)$ equals the number of $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $\gcd(k, n) = 1$.

Property 3. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\gamma_n(z)$ is of degree $\phi(n)$.*

The next lower bound for Euler's totient function is far from optimal. However, it is sufficient for our purpose.

Proposition 2. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\phi(n)$ is greater than or equal to $\sqrt{n/2}$.*

A proof of Proposition 2 can be found in appendix. Noteworthy is that $\frac{\phi(n) \ln \ln n}{n}$ converges to a positive, finite limit as n tends to ∞ . [2].

3 The new algorithm

Definition 3. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, let $\pi_n(\mathbf{z}) := \prod_{j=1}^n \gamma_j(\mathbf{z})$.

To prove that the MTP is decidable in polynomial time, we prove that

- a d -by- d matrix over $\mathbb{Q}$ is torsion if and only if it annihilates $\mathbf{z}^d \pi_{2d^2}(\mathbf{z})$, and that
- $\mathbf{z}^d \pi_{2d^2}(\mathbf{z})$ is computable from d in $\text{poly}(d)$ time.

Proposition 3. Let $d, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ be such that for every integer m greater than n , $\phi(m)$ is greater than d . For every d -by- d matrix M over $\mathbb{Q}$ the following three assertions are equivalent.

- (i). M is torsion.
- (ii). M annihilates $\mathbf{z}^d \pi_n(\mathbf{z})$.
- (iii). M satisfies $M^{n!+d} = M^d$.

Proof. The implication (iii) $\implies$ (i) is clear. Moreover, it follows from Property 1 that $\pi_n(\mathbf{z})$ divides $\mathbf{z}^{n!} - 1$, and thus $\mathbf{z}^d \pi_n(\mathbf{z})$ divides $\mathbf{z}^{n!+d} - \mathbf{z}^d$. Therefore, (ii) $\implies$ (iii) holds. Let us now show (i) $\implies$ (ii).

Assume that M is torsion. Let $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $p < q$ and $M^p = M^q$. Let $\mu(\mathbf{z})$ denote the minimal polynomial of M over $\mathbb{Q}$. Since $M^q - M^p$ is a zero matrix, $\mu(\mathbf{z})$ divides $\mathbf{z}^q - \mathbf{z}^p$. By Property 1, $\mathbf{z}^q - \mathbf{z}^p$ can be factorized in the form $\mathbf{z}^q - \mathbf{z}^p = \mathbf{z}^p \prod_{j \in D_{q-p}} \gamma_j(\mathbf{z})$; by Property 2 all factors are irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$. Hence, $\mu(\mathbf{z})$ can be written in the form $\mu(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{z}^k \prod_{j \in J} \gamma_j(\mathbf{z})$ for some integer k satisfying $0 \leq k \leq p$ and some $J \subseteq D_{q-p}$. Besides, the Cayley-Hamilton theorem implies that $\mu(\mathbf{z})$ divides the characteristic polynomial of M which is of degree d . Therefore, d is not smaller than the degree of $\mu(\mathbf{z})$. Since the degree of $\mu(\mathbf{z})$ equals $k + \sum_{j \in J} \phi(j)$ by Property 3, we have $k \leq d$ and $\max J \leq n$. Hence, $\mu(\mathbf{z})$ divides $\mathbf{z}^d \pi_n(\mathbf{z})$. $\square$

Combining Propositions 2 and 3, we obtain that for every $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$, a d -by- d matrix over $\mathbb{Q}$ is torsion if and only if it annihilates the polynomial $\mathbf{z}^d \pi_{2d^2}(\mathbf{z})$. To conclude the paper, it remains to explain how to compute $\pi_n(\mathbf{z})$ in $\text{poly}(n)$ time from any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ taken as input. The idea is to rely on Proposition 1.

Definition 4. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, let $\nu_n(\mathbf{z}) := \prod_{j=1}^n (\mathbf{z}^j - 1)$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Clearly, $\nu_n(\mathbf{z})$ is computable in $\text{poly}(n)$ time. Moreover, it follows from Property 1 that

$$\nu_n(\mathbf{z}) = \prod_{j=1}^n (\gamma_j(\mathbf{z}))^{\lfloor n/j \rfloor},$$

and thus $\nu_n(\mathbf{z})$ and $\pi_n(\mathbf{z})$ have the same roots. Since $\pi_n(\mathbf{z})$ has no multiple roots, Proposition 1 yields a way to compute $\pi_n(\mathbf{z})$ from $\nu_n(\mathbf{z})$ in polynomial time:

Proposition 4. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\pi_n(\mathbf{z}) := \frac{\nu_n(\mathbf{z})}{\gcd(\nu'_n(\mathbf{z}), \nu_n(\mathbf{z}))}$.*

4 Comments

The failure of the naive approach. Combining Propositions 2 and 3, we obtain:

Corollary 1 (Mandel and Simon [5, Lemma 4.1]). *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Every d -by- d torsion matrix M over $\mathbb{Q}$ satisfies $M^{(2d^2)!+d} = M^d$.*

It follows from Proposition 1 that the MTP is decidable. However, such an approach does not yield a polynomial-time algorithm for the MTP:

Proposition 5. *Let $t : \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$ be a function such that for each $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$, every d -by- d torsion matrix M over $\mathbb{Q}$ satisfies $M^{t(d)+d} = M^d$. Then, t has exponential growth.*

Proof. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, let $\ell(n)$ denote the least common multiple of all positive integers less than or equal to n : $\ell(3) = 6$, $\ell(4) = 12$, $\ell(5) = \ell(6) = 60$, etc. It is well-known that ℓ has exponential growth: for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\ell(2n) \geq \binom{2n}{n} \geq 2^n$ [2].

For every d -by- d non-singular matrix M over $\mathbb{Q}$, $M^{t(d)}$ is the identity matrix. Besides, for every integer k with $1 \leq k \leq d$, there exists a d -by- d permutation matrix that generates a cyclic group of order k , and thus k divides $t(d)$. It follows that $\ell(d)$ divides $t(d)$. $\square$

Reducing the MTP to the MPP. For the sake of completeness, let us describe the reduction from the MTP to the MPP. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and let M be a d -by- d matrix over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $N_2 := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $A := \begin{bmatrix} M^d & O \\ O & N_2 \end{bmatrix}$, $O_2 := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $B := \begin{bmatrix} M^d & O \\ O & O_2 \end{bmatrix}$ where O denotes both the d -by-two zero matrix and its transpose. It is clear that A and B are two $(d+2)$ -by- $(d+2)$ matrices over $\mathbb{Q}$. Moreover, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A^n = B$ if and only if M is torsion [1].

5 Open question

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$. It follows from Corollary 1 that for every d -by- d torsion matrix M over $\mathbb{Q}$, the sequence $(M^d, M^{d+1}, M^{d+2}, M^{d+3}, \dots)$ is (purely) periodic with period at most $(2d^2)!$. Hence, the maximum cardinality of $\{M^d, M^{d+1}, M^{d+2}, M^{d+3}, \dots\}$, over all d -by- d torsion matrices M over $\mathbb{Q}$, is well-defined. To our knowledge, its asymptotic behavior as d goes to infinity is unknown.

References

- [1] J. Cassaigne and F. Nicolas. On the decidability of semigroup freeness. Submitted, 2008.
- [2] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright. *An introduction to the theory of numbers*. Oxford, at the Clarendon Press, fourth edition, 1979.
- [3] R. Kannan and R. J. Lipton. Polynomial-time algorithm for the orbit problem. *Journal of the Association for Computing Machinery*, 33(4):808–821, 1986.
- [4] S. Lang. *Algebra*, volume 211 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, revised third edition, 2002.
- [5] A. Mandel and I. Simon. On finite semigroups of matrices. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 5(2):101–111, 1977.

Proof of Proposition 2

The following two properties of Euler’s totient function are well-known.

Property 4. *For every prime number p and every $v \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\phi(p^v) = p^{v-1}(p - 1)$.*

Proof. For every integer k , $\gcd(k, p^v)$ is distinct from one if and only if p divides k . From that we deduce the equality

$$\{k \in \{1, 2, \dots, p^v\} : \gcd(k, p^v) \neq 1\} = \{pq : q \in \{1, 2, \dots, p^{v-1}\}\} . \quad (1)$$

Besides, it is easy to see that the left-hand side of Equation (1) has cardinality $p^v - \phi(p^v)$ while its right-hand side has cardinality p^{v-1} . $\square$

Property 5. *For every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\phi(mn) = \phi(m)\phi(n)$ whenever $\gcd(m, n) = 1$.*

Property 5 is consequence of the Chinese remainder theorem. It states that ϕ is *multiplicative*.

Lemma 1. *For every real number $x \geq 3$, $\sqrt{x}$ is less than $x - 1$.*

Proof. The two roots of the quadratic polynomial $z^2 - z - 1$ are $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 1.618$ and $\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx -0.618$; they are both smaller than $\sqrt{3} \approx 1.732$. Therefore, $y^2 - y - 1$ is positive for every real number $y \geq \sqrt{3}$. Since for every real number $x \geq 3$, $\sqrt{x}$ is not less than $\sqrt{3}$, $(x - 1) - \sqrt{x} = (\sqrt{x})^2 - \sqrt{x} - 1$ is positive. $\square$

Lemma 2. *Let p and v be two integers with $p \geq 2$ and $v \geq 1$. Inequality $p^{v/2} \leq p^{v-1}(p - 1)$ holds if and only if $(p, v) \neq (2, 1)$.*

Proof. If $(p, v) = (2, 1)$ then $p^{v/2} = \sqrt{2}$ is greater than $1 = p^{v-1}(p-1)$. If $v \geq 2$ then $v/2 \leq v-1$, and thus $p^{v/2} \leq p^{v-1} \leq p^{v-1}(p-1)$ follows. If $v = 1$ and $p \geq 3$ then $p^{v/2} = \sqrt{p}$ is less than $p-1 = p^{v-1}(p-1)$ according to Lemma 1. $\square$

Lemma 3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. If n is odd or if four divides n then $\phi(n)$ is greater than or equal to $\sqrt{n}$.*

Proof. It is clear that $\phi(1) = 1 = \sqrt{1}$. Let n be an integer greater than one. Write n in the form

$$n = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{v_i}$$

where $r, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r$ are positive integers and where $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r$ are pairwise distinct prime numbers. Properties 4 and 5 yield:

$$\phi(n) = \prod_{i=1}^r \phi(p_i^{v_i}) = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{v_i-1}(p_i - 1).$$

Assume either that n is odd or that four divides n . Then, for each index i with $1 \leq i \leq r$, (p_i, v_i) is distinct from $(2, 1)$ and thus inequality $p_i^{v_i/2} \leq p_i^{v_i-1}(p_i - 1)$ holds. From that we deduce

$$\phi(n) \geq \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{v_i/2} = \sqrt{n}.$$

$\square$

Proof of Proposition 2. If n is odd or if four divides n then Lemma 3 ensures $\phi(n) \geq \sqrt{n} \geq \sqrt{n/2}$. Conversely, assume that n is even and that four does not divide n : there exists an odd integer n' such that $n = 2n'$. We have

- $\phi(n) = \phi(2)\phi(n') = \phi(n')$ according to Property 5, and
- $\phi(n') \geq \sqrt{n'} = \sqrt{n/2}$ by Lemma 3.

From that we deduce $\phi(n) \geq \sqrt{n/2}$. $\square$